

Remarks

Further and favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks.

Thus, in response to the rejection of claims 12-16 under the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. §112, claim 12 has been amended to change the first occurrence of "1,4-phenylene" in line 3 from the bottom to --1,4-cyclohexylene--. A similar change has been made on page 10 of the specification.

This change in claim 12 renders the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112 moot.


Although not raised by the Examiner, the last two lines of claim 12 include a definition for Y^1 , but none of formulae (5)-(8) in claim 12 include Y^1 . " Y^1 " in line 2 from the bottom of claim 12 has been changed to -- Y^2 --, which appears in formula (6). A similar change has been made on page 10 of the specification.

In response to the provisional rejection of claims 1-16 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of copending Application No. 10/726,292, Applicants are submitting herewith a Terminal Disclaimer, rendering the provisional rejection moot.

Therefore, in view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is submitted that each of the grounds of rejection set forth by the Examiner has been overcome, and that the application is in condition for allowance. Such allowance is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Yoshitaka TOMI et al.

By: 
Michael R. Davis
Registration No. 25,134
Attorney for Applicants

MRD/pth
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021
Telephone (202) 721-8200
Facsimile (202) 721-8250
September 9, 2004